

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,352	11/21/2003	David A. Kienholz	CSA-007	9877	
23832 7	590 06/28/2004		EXAMINER		
RICK G BREWSTER			GRAHAM, MATTHEW C		
ATTORNEY A			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE	= = ::		3683		
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/29/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1: () 11	<del></del>				
·	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comme	1	7718,352	KIENHOLZ, DAVID A.				
Office Action Summa	Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
	1	tthew C Graham	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication appears	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI  - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of  - If the period for reply specified above is less that  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply within ximum statutory period will apple for reply will, by statute, caus months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a repl n the statutory minimum of thirty ( bly and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	i.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in co- closed in accordance with the			·				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-6</u> is/are objected to 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrawn fr l. o.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that a	ny objection to the draw	ing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) ir 11) The oath or declaration is obje			is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>	alaim fa chaoile a ch		40/ 1/ 10				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a  a) All b) Some * c) Non  1. Certified copies of the p  2. Certified copies of the p  3. Copies of the certified copies of the p  application from the Interview.	e of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority de ernational Bureau (PC	ve been received. ve been received in App ocuments have been re CT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>			Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/718,352

Art Unit: 3683

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terao et al. in view of Davis et al.

Terao et al. in shows a shock absorber having a pneumatic actuator with a magnetic force actuator to fine tune the position of the piston. Note the magnet 82 that is used in combination with a sensor positioned on an outer circumferential surface of the cylinder tube 42 for detecting a positional error of the piston 20.

The claimed invention differs from Terao et al. only in the type of magnet actuator and the type of sensor.

Davis teaches the use of a linear magnetic actuator and pressure sensors for controlling an isolator.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized a linear motor and pressure sensors in Terao et al in view of the teaching of Davis et al. as a mere substitute of known equivalents dependent on the associated environment or intended use of the actuator.

Also, the use for positioning payload is considered as a mere matter of intended use.

Re- claim 12, note gas tank 12 in Terao et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,352

Art Unit: 3683

Re- claim 3, Davis shows the liner motor.

Re-claim 4, uniaxial fails to connote any specific structure.

- 3. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamaoka shows a shock absorber.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Matthew
   Graham at telephone number 703-308-2570.

7. C/S/2/2004

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP SID

Page 3